arXiv:math/0310452v1 [math.OA] 29 Oct 2003

Dilation of a class of quantum dynamical semigroups with unbounded generator on UHF algebras

Debashish Goswami, Lingaraj Sahu [1]
and
Kalyan B. Sinha [2]
Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute,
203, B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, India.
email : goswamid@isical.ac.in, lingaraj_r@isical.ac.in and kbs@isical.ac.in

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Paul André Meyer

Abstract

Evans-Hudson flows are constructed for a class of quantum dynamical semigroups with unbounded generator on UHF algebras, which appeared in [6]. It is shown that these flows are unital and covariant. Ergodicity of the flows for the semigroups associated with partial states is also discussed.

1 Introduction

Quantum dynamical semigroups (to be abbreviated as QDS) constitute a natural generalization of classical Markov semigroups arising as expectation semigroups of Markov processes. A QDS $\{T_t : t \geq 0\}$ on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a C_0-semigroup of completely positive (CP) maps T_t on $\mathcal{A}$. Given such a QDS, it is interesting and important to look for a dilation in the sense of Evan-Hudson (EH) i.e. a family of $*$-homomorphism $\eta_t : \mathcal{A} \to A \otimes (\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)))$ where $\mathbf{k}_0$ is some separable Hilbert space and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the symmetric Fock space, satisfying a suitable quantum stochastic differential equation (QSDE). This problem has been completely solved for QDS with bounded generators by Goswami, Sinha and Pal [2, 4], where a canonical EH dilation for an arbitrary QDS with bounded generator has been constructed. However, only partial success has been achieved for QDS with unbounded generator. It is perhaps too much to expect a complete general theory for an arbitrary QDS. It may be wiser to look for EH dilation for special classes of QDS. In [3] for example, the author gave a general theory for QDS on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which is covariant with respect to an action of a Lie group and also symmetric with respect to a given trace. However, in the present article , we shall try to construct EH dilation for another class of QDS on UHF C^*-algebra, studied by T. Matsui [6]. This construction has some similarity with the earlier one but the action of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ instead of a Lie group action in [3], makes the

---

[1] The author would like to acknowledge the support of National Board of Higher Mathematics, DAE, India and to the DST-DAAD programme.

[2] The author would like to acknowledge the support from Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research as well as from DST-DAAD programme.

present model somewhat different from that of [3]. We have not only proved the existence of the dilation (in section 3), we are also able to prove that the EH flow is indeed covariant with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action (in section 4). Some ergodicity properties of flows are briefly discussed too(in section 5).

2 Notation and preliminaries

Matsui (in [6]) constructed a class of conservative completely positive semigroups on the UHF C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by infinite tensor product of finite dimensional matrix algebras $M_N(\mathbb{C})$, i.e. the C^*-completion of $\otimes_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} M_N(\mathbb{C})$, where N and d be two fixed positive integers (inductive limit of full matrix algebras $\{M_{N^n}(\mathbb{C}), n \geq 1\}$ with respect to the imbedding of M_{N^n} in $M_{N^{n+1}}$ by sending a to $a\otimes 1$). The unique normalized trace tr on $\mathcal{A}$ is given by $tr(x) = \frac{1}{N^n}\, Tr(x)$, for $x \in M_{N^n}(\mathbb{C})$, where Tr denote the ordinary trace on $M_{N^n}(\mathbb{C})$. For $x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, define an element $x^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ whose j-th component is x and rest are identity of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. For a simple tensor element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $a_{(j)}$ be the j-th component of a, the support of a, denoted by $supp(a)$ be the set $\{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : a_{(j)} \neq 1\}$ and for a general element $a \in \mathcal{A}, a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n$ with a_n's simple tensor elements in $\mathcal{A}$ and c_n's complex coefficients, define $supp(a) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} supp(a_n)$ and set $|a| =$ cardinality of $supp(a)$. For any $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ denote the $*$-subalgebra generated by elements of $\mathcal{A}$ with support Λ. When $\Lambda = \{k\}$, we write $\mathcal{A}_k$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_{\{k\}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ be the $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by elements $a \in \mathcal{A}$ of finite support or equivalently by $\{x^{(j)} : x \in M_N(\mathbb{C}), j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ the translation τ_k on $\mathcal{A}$ is an automorphism determined by $\tau_k(x^{(j)}) = x^{(j+k)}, \forall x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Thus, we get an action τ of the infinite discrete group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on $\mathcal{A}$. For $x \in \mathcal{A}$ denote $\tau_k(x)$ by x_k. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is naturally sitting inside $\mathbf{h}_0 = L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$, the GNS Hilbert space for $(\mathcal{A}, tr)$. It is easy to see that τ_k extends to a unitary on $\mathbf{h}_0$, to be denoted by same symbol τ_k, giving rise to a unitary representation τ of the group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on $\mathbf{h}_0$, which implements the action τ.

We also need another dense subset of $\mathcal{A}$, in a sense like the first Sobolev space in $\mathcal{A}$. For this, we need to note that $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by a pair of noncommutative representatives $\{U, V\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_N = \{0, 1 \cdots N-1\}$ such that $U^N = V^N = 1 \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $UV = wVU$, where $w \in \mathbb{C}$ is the primitive N-th root of unity(these U, V are given by $N \times N$ circulant matrices, note that for $N = 2$, U and V are respectively the Pauli-spin matrices σ_x and σ_z). For $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\alpha,\ \beta \in G \equiv \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$, set $\sigma_{j;\alpha,\beta}(x) = \left[U^{(j)}V^{(j)}, x\right]$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j;\alpha,\beta} \|\sigma_{j;\alpha,\beta}(x)\|$. Set $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}\colon \|x\|_1 < \infty\}$. It is easy to see that $\|x^*\|_1 = \|\tau_j(x)\|_1 = \|x\|_1$ and since $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ contains the dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$, $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ is a dense τ invariant $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} G$ be the infinite direct product of the finite group G at each lattice site. Thus each $g \in \mathcal{G}$ has j-th component $g_{(j)} = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ with $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in G$ and for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ define its support by $supp(g) = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : g_{(j)} \neq (0, 0)\}$ and $|g| =$ cardinality of $supp(g)$. Consider the projective unitary representation of $\mathcal{G}$, given by $\mathcal{G} \ni g \mapsto U_g = \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} {U^{(j)}}^{\alpha_j} {V^{(j)}}^{\beta_j} \in \mathcal{A}$.

For a given CP map T on $\mathcal{A}$, formally we define the Linbladian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{L}_k,$$

$$\text{where } \ \mathcal{L}_k x = \tau_k \mathcal{L}_0(\tau_{-k} x), \ \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{L}_0(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\{T(1), x\} + T(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$.
In particular consider the Linbladian $\mathcal{L}$ for the CP map

$$Tx = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l^* x a_l, \ \forall x \in \mathcal{A},$$

associated with a sequence of elements $\{a_l\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, $a_l = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} c_{l,g} U_g$ such that $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |c_{l,g}| \, |g|^2 < \infty$. Matsui has proven the following in the paper referred earlier [6] .

Theorem 2.1. *(i)The $\mathcal{L}$ formally defined above is well defined on $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ and the closure $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}/_{\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})}$ is a generator of conservative CP semigroup $\{P_t : t \geq 0\}$ on $\mathcal{A}$,*
(ii) The semigroup $\{P_t\}$ leaves $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ invariant.

The semigroup P_t satisfies

$$P_t(x) = x + \int_0^t P_s(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))ds, \ \forall x \in Dom(\hat{\mathcal{L}}).$$

Since $1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ (in fact $\|1\|_1 = 0$) and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(1) = \mathcal{L}(1) = 0$, it follows that $P_t(1) = 1, \forall t \geq 0$.

Following [6], we say that P_t is ergodic if there exist an invariant state ψ satisfying

$$\|P_t(x) - \psi(x)1\| \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty, \ \forall x \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.2}$$

In [6], the author has discussed some criteria for ergodicity of CP semigroup P_t. Some examples of such semigroups, associated with partial states on the UHF algebra and their perturbation are given.

Let ϕ be a state on $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the partial state ϕ_k on $\mathcal{A}$ determined by $\phi_k(x) = \phi(x_{(k)}) x_{\{k\}^c}$, for $x = x_{(k)} x_{\{k\}^c}$, where $x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $x_{\{k\}^c} \in \mathcal{A}_{\{k\}^c}$. We can find elements $\{L^{(m)} : m = 1, 2 \cdots N'\}$ in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$, for some finite natural number N' such that

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{N'} {L^{(m)}}^* x L^{(m)} \ \forall x \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and} \sum_{m=1}^{N'} {L^{(m)}}^* L^{(m)} = 1$$

For $m = 1, \cdots N'$, consider the element $L_0^{(m)} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with zeroth component is $L^{(m)}$ respectively. Now for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $m = 1, \cdots N'$, writing $L_k^{(m)} = \tau_k(L_0^{(m)})$, the partial state ϕ_k is given by,

$$\phi_k(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{N'} {L_k^{(m)}}^* x L_k^{(m)} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$$

By (2.1), formally the Linbladian $\mathcal{L}^\phi$, corresponding to the partial state ϕ_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^\phi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{L}_k^\phi(x)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\phi(x) = \phi_k(x) - x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N'} [{L_k^{(m)}}^*, x] L_k^{(m)} + {L_k^{(m)}}^* [x, L_k^{(m)}]$$

It follows from theorem (2.1) that $\mathcal{L}^\phi$ defined on $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover the closure $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\phi$ of $\mathcal{L}^\phi /_{\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})}$ generates a conservative CP semigroup P_t^ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$P_t^\phi(\prod_{k \in \Lambda} x_{(k)}) = \prod_{k \in \Lambda} \{\phi(x_{(k)}) + e^{-t}(x_{(k)} - \phi(x_{(k)}))\}.$$

Note that the map Φ define by,

$$\Phi(\prod_{k \in \Lambda} x_{(k)}) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P_t^\phi(\prod_{k \in \Lambda} x_{(k)}) = \prod_{k \in \Lambda} \phi(x_{(k)})$$

extends as a state on $\mathcal{A}$ which is the unique ergodic state for P_t^ϕ. For any real c, consider the perturbation

$$\mathcal{L}^{(c)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^\phi(x) + c\mathcal{L}(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

It clear that $L^{(c)}$ is the Linbladian associated with the CP map

$$T(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{N'} {L_k^{(m)}}^* x L_k^{(m)} + c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l^* x a_l, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$$

and by theorem (2.1) it follows that the closure $\hat{\mathcal{L}^{(c)}}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{(c)}/\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ generate a QDS $P_t^{(c)}$. Moreover,

Theorem 2.2. *[6] There exist a constant* c_0 *such that for* $0 \leq c \leq c_0$ *the above semigroup* $P_t^{(c)}$ *have the unique ergodic state* $\Phi^{(c)}$ *and*

$$\|P_t^{(c)}(x)\|_1 \leq 2e^{-(1-\frac{c}{c_0})t} \|x\|_1 \ \textit{and} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|P_t^{(c)}(x) - \Phi^{(c)}(x)1\| \leq \frac{4}{N^2} e^{-(1-\frac{c}{c_0})t} \|x\|_1, \ \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Remark 2.3. *The ergodic state* $\Phi^{(c)}$ *corresponding QDS* $P_t^{(c)}$ *is given by*

$$\Phi^{(c)}(x) = \Phi(x) + c\int_0^\infty \Phi(\mathcal{L}(P_t^{(c)}(x)))dt, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$$

Let us conclude the present section with a brief discussion on the fundamental integrator process of quantum stochastic calculus, introduced by Hudson and Parthasarathy [5]). Let $\mathbf{k} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)$ where $\mathbf{k}_0 = l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with the canonical orthonormal basis $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{sym}(\mathbf{k})$, the symmetric Fock space over $\mathbf{k}$. For $f \in \mathbf{k}$, we denote by $\mathbf{e}(f)$ the exponential vector in Γ associated with u :

$$\mathbf{e}(f) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} u^{(n)}$$

where $u^{(n)} = \underbrace{u \otimes u \otimes \cdots \otimes u}_{n-copies}$ for $n > 0$ and by convention $u^{(0)} = 1$. For $f = 0$, $\mathbf{e}(f)$ is called the vacuum vector in Γ. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of all bounded continuous functions on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)$, so that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}) \equiv \{\mathbf{e}(f) : f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is total in $\Gamma(\mathbf{k})$. Any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)$ decomposes as $f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_k e_k$ with $f_k \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We take the freedom to use the same symbol f_k to denote function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)$ as well, whenever it is clear from the context. The family of fundamental processes, $\{\Lambda_i^j : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, associated with the orthonomal basis $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_j^i(t) &= a_{\chi_{[0,t]}\otimes e_i} \text{ for } i \neq 0, j = 0 \text{ (annihilation)} \\ &= a^\dagger_{\chi_{[0,t]}\otimes e_j} \text{ for } i = o, j \neq 0 \text{ (creation)} \\ &= \Lambda_{M_{\chi_{[0,t]}}\otimes |e_j><e_i|} \text{ for } i, j \neq 0 \text{ (conservation)} \\ &= t1 \text{ for } i = j = 0, \text{ (time)} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\chi_{[0,t]}}$ is the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by characteristic function of the interval $[0, t]$. For detail see [10, 7]).

3 Evans-Hudson (EH)type dilation

Formally, we would like to solve the following quantum stochastic differential equation (QSDE) in

$$\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0))),$$

$$dj_t(x) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_t(\delta_j^\dagger(x))da_j(t) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_t(\delta_j(x))da_j^\dagger(t) + j_t(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))dt, \tag{3.1}$$

$$j_0(x) = x \otimes 1_\Gamma \ .$$

Now if we look at the corresponding (see [10, 7]) Hudson-Parthasarathy (HP) equation in $L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr) \otimes L^2(\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)))$,

$$dU_t = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \{r_j^* da_j(t) - r_j da_j^\dagger(t) - \frac{1}{2} r_j^* r_j dt\} U_t, \tag{3.2}$$

$$U_0(x) = 1_{L^2 \otimes \Gamma}.$$

However, though each $r_j \in \mathcal{A}$ and hence is in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr))$, the equation (3.2) does not admit a solution since

$$\langle u, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} r_j^* r_j u \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|r_j u\|^2$$

is not convergent in general and hence $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} r_j \otimes e_j$ does not define an element in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{k}_0$. For example, let r be the singleton $U^{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $r_j = U^{(k+j)}$ is a unitary for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and hence

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|r_j u\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|u\|^2 = \infty.$$

However, as we shall see, in many situation there exist EH flows, even though the corresponding HP equation (3.2) does not admit a solution.

Remark 3.1. *There are some cases when an EH dilation can be seen to be implemented by solution of HP equation, for example, given a self adjoint* $r \in \mathcal{A}$*,*

$$dV_t = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V_t(S_k^* da_k(t) - S_k da_k^\dagger(t) - \frac{1}{2} S_k^* S_k dt), \ V_0 = 1,$$

where S_k *is defined by* $S_k(x) = [r_k, x]$ *for* $x \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$*, admits a unique unitary solution and*

$$x \mapsto V_t^*(x \otimes 1) V_t$$

gives an EH dilation for P_t *(ref [8, 9]).*

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ be fixed, $W = U^a V^b \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ a fixed element. Consider the following representation of the infinite product group $\mathcal{G}' = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{Z}_N$, given by

$$\mathcal{G}' \ni g \mapsto W_g = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} {W^{(j)}}^{\alpha_j}, \text{ where } g = (\alpha_j).$$

For any $y \in \mathcal{A}, \ y = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} c_g U_g$ and for $n \geq 1$ we define

$$\vartheta_n(y) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |c_g| \, |g|^n.$$

Now consider $r \in \mathcal{A}, \ r = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}'} c_g W_g$ such that $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}'} |c_g| \, |g|^2 < \infty$. It is clear that $\vartheta_1(y) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}'} |c_g| \, |g| < \infty$. Note that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ we can always write $x = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}} c_h U_h$, with complex coefficients c_h satisfying $c_h = 0$ for $\forall h$ such that $supp(h) \bigcap supp(x)$ is empty. So

$$\vartheta_n(x) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}} |c_h| \, |h|^n < \infty \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

and it is clear that

$$\vartheta_n(x) \leq |x|^n \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}} |c_h| \leq c_x^n$$

for constant $c_x = |x|(1 + \sum_{h\in\mathcal{G}} |c_h|)$. Now consider the formal Linbladian define by (2.1) $\mathcal{L}$ associated with CP map $T(x) = r^*xr$, $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{L}_k$, where $\mathcal{L}_k x = \tau_k \mathcal{L}_0(\tau_{-k}x)$ with $\mathcal{L}_0 x = \frac{1}{2}\{[r^*, x]\, r + r^*\, [x, r]\}$ so that,

$$\mathcal{L}_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{[r_k^*, x]\, r_k + r_k^*\, [x, r_k]\}.$$

Let denote these two bounded derivations $[r_k^*, .]$ and $[., r_k]$ in $\mathcal{A}$, by $\delta_k^\dagger$ and δ_k respectively so that $\mathcal{L}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k^\dagger(x) r_k + r_k^* \delta_k(x)$.

For $n \geq 1$, denote the set of integers $\{1, 2, \cdots n\}$ by I_n and for $1 \leq p \leq n$, $P = \{l_1, l_2 \cdots l_p\} \subseteq I_n$ (where l_i's are in increasing order), define a map from n-fold Cartesian product of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ to that p copies of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ given by

$$\bar{k}(I_n) = (k_1, k_2 \cdots k_n) \mapsto \bar{k}(P) = (k_{l_1}, k_{l_2} \cdots k_{l_p})$$

and similarly, $\bar{\varepsilon}(P) = (\varepsilon_{l_1}\varepsilon_{l_2} \cdots \varepsilon_{l_p})$ for a vector $\bar{\varepsilon}(I_n) = (\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ in n-fold Cartesian product of $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.
For brevity of notations, we write $\bar{\varepsilon}(P) \equiv c$ ($c \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$) to mean that all $\varepsilon_{l_i} = c$ and $\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n)$ will stand for $\bar{k}(I_n), \bar{\varepsilon}(I_n)$ respectively. Setting $\delta_k^\varepsilon = \delta_k^\dagger, \mathcal{L}_k$ and δ_k depending upon $\varepsilon = -1, 0$ and 1 respectively, we write $R(\bar{k}) = r_{k_1} r_{k_2} \cdots r_{k_p}$ and $\delta(\bar{k}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \delta_{k_p}^{\varepsilon_p} \cdots \delta_{k_1}^{\varepsilon_1}$ for any $\bar{k} = (k_1, k_2 \cdots k_p)$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_p)$. Now we have the following useful lemma,

Lemma 3.2. *Let r, x and constant c_x be as above. Then*
(i)For any $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{\bar{k}(n)} \|\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))(x)\| \leq (2\vartheta_1(r)c_x)^n, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc},$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}(n)$ is such that $\varepsilon_l \neq 0$, $\forall\ l \in I_n$.
(ii) For any $n \geq 1$ and $\bar{k}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{k_n} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{k_1}(x) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{p=0,1\cdots n} \sum_{P\subseteq I_n : |P|=p} R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(n))(x) R(\bar{k}(P)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(n)$ is such that $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(P) \equiv -1$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(P^c) \equiv 1$.
(iii)For any $n \geq 1, p \leq n, P \subseteq I_n$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}(n)$ is such that $\bar{\varepsilon}(P)$ contains all those components having value 0, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\bar{k}(n)} \|\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))(x)\| &\leq \|r\|^p (2\vartheta_1(r)c_x)^n \\ &\leq (1 + \|r\|)^n (2\vartheta_1(r)c_x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let $m_1, m_2 \geq 1$; $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}'(m_1), \bar{\varepsilon}''(m_2)$ be two fixed tuples, then for $n \geq 1$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}(n)$ as in (iii), we have,

$$\sum_{\bar{k}(n), \bar{k}'(m_1), \bar{k}''(m_2)} \|\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))\{\delta(\bar{k}'(m_1), \bar{\varepsilon}'(m_1))(x) \cdot \delta(\bar{k}''(m_2), \bar{\varepsilon}''(m_2))(y)\}\|$$

$$\leq 2^n (1 + \|r\|)^{2n+m_1+m_2} (2\vartheta_1(r) c_{x,y})^{n+m_1+m_2},$$

where $c_{x,y} = max\{c_x, c_y\}$.

Proof. (i) As r^* is again of the same form as r, it is enough to observe the following

$$\sum_{k_n, \cdots k_1} \| [r_{k_n}, \cdots [r_{k_1}, x] \cdots] \| \leq (2\vartheta_1(r) c_x)^n, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc} \; .$$

In order to prove this consider

$$LHS = \sum_{k_n, \cdots k_1} \sum_{g_n, \cdots g_1 \in \mathcal{G}'; h \in \mathcal{G}} |c_{g_n}| \cdots |c_{g_1}| \, |c_h| \, \| [\tau_{k_n} W_{g_n}, \cdots [\tau_{k_1} W_{g_1}, U_h] \cdots] \|.$$

Since for any two commuting elements A, B in $\mathcal{A}$, $[A\,[B, x]] = [B\,[A, x]]$, for the commutator $[\tau_{k_n} W_{g_n}, \cdots [\tau_{k_1} W_{g_1}, U_h] \cdots]$ to be nonzero, it is necessary to have, $(supp(g_i) + k_i) \bigcap supp(h) \neq \phi$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots n$ and number of choices of such $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is at most $|g_i| \cdot |h|$. Thus we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_n, \cdots k_1} \| [r_{k_n}, \cdots [r_{k_1}, x] \cdots] \| \\ &\quad \leq \sum_{g_n, \cdots g_1 \in \mathcal{G}'; h \in \mathcal{G}} |c_{g_n}| \cdots |c_{g_1}| |c_h| |g_n| \cdots |g_1| |h|^n 2^n \\ &\quad \leq (2\vartheta_1(r) c_x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)The proof is by induction. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have,

$$\mathcal{L}_k(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k^\dagger(x) r_k + r_k^* \delta_k(x),$$

so it is trivially true for $n = 1$. Assume it to be true for some $m > 1$ and for any $k_{m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ consider $\mathcal{L}_{k_{m+1}} \mathcal{L}_{k_m} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{k_1}(x)$, now by applying the statement for $n = m$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{k_{m+1}} \mathcal{L}_{k_m} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{k_1}(x) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{p=0,1 \cdots m} \sum_{P \subseteq I_m : |P| = p} [\delta_{k_{m+1}}^* \{R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta(\bar{k}(m), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(m))(x) R(\bar{k}(P))\} r_{k_{m+1}} \\ &\qquad\qquad + r_{k_{m+1}}^* \delta_{k_{m+1}} \{R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta(\bar{k}(m), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(m))(x) R(\bar{k}(P))\}], \end{aligned}$$

Since r_k's are commuting with each other,

$$\begin{aligned}
&LHS\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{p=0,1\cdots m} \sum_{P\subseteq I_m:|P|=p} [R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta^*_{k_{m+1}} \delta(\bar{k}(m), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(m))(x) R(\bar{k}(P)) r_{k_{m+1}}\\
&\qquad\qquad + r^*_{k_{m+1}} R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta_{k_{m+1}} \delta(\bar{k}(m), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(m))(x) R(\bar{k}(P))]\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{p=0,1\cdots m+1} \sum_{P\subseteq I_{m+1}:|P|=p} R(\bar{k}(P^c))^* \delta(\bar{k}(m+1), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(P)}(m+1))(x) R(\bar{k}(P)).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) By simple application of (ii),

$$\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{q=0,1\cdots p} \sum_{Q\subseteq P:|Q|=q} R(\bar{k}(P\setminus Q))^* \delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(n))(x) R(\bar{k}(Q)), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(n)$ define by the map from the n-fold Cartesian product of $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ to itself, $\bar{\varepsilon}(n) \mapsto \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(n)$ such that $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(Q) \equiv -1, \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(P\setminus Q) \equiv 1$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(I_n\setminus P) = \bar{\varepsilon}(I_n\setminus P)$, now (i) gives that we require .

(iv) By (3.3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&LHS\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{\bar{k}(n),\bar{k}'(m_1),\bar{k}''(m_2)} \sum_{q=0,1\cdots p} \sum_{Q\subseteq P:|Q|=q} \|R(\bar{k}(P\setminus Q))^*\\
&\qquad \delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(n))\ [\delta(\bar{k}'(m_1), \bar{\varepsilon}'(m_1))(x) \cdot \delta(\bar{k}''(m_2), \bar{\varepsilon}''(m_2))(y)]\ R(\bar{k}(Q))\|,
\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Leibnitz rule, it become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\|r\|^p}{2^p} \sum_{\bar{k}(n),\bar{k}'(m_1),\bar{k}''(m_2)} \sum_{q=0,1\cdots p} \sum_{Q\subseteq P:|Q|=q} \sum_{l=0,1\cdots n} \sum_{L\subseteq I_n:|L|=l}\\
&\quad \|\delta(\bar{k}(L), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(L)) \delta(\bar{k}'(m_1), \bar{\varepsilon}'(m_1))(x)\|\\
&\quad \|\delta(\bar{k}(L^c), \bar{\varepsilon}_{(Q,P)}(L^c))[\delta(\bar{k}''(m_2), \bar{\varepsilon}''(m_2))(y)]\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by using (iii), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
&LHS\\
&\quad \leq \frac{(1+\|r\|)^n}{2^p} \sum_{q=0,1\cdots p} c(p,q) \sum_{l=0,1\cdots n} c(n,l) (1+\|r\|)^{l+m_1} (2\vartheta_1(r) c_x)^{l+m_1}\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot (1+\|r\|)^{n-l+m_2} (2\vartheta_1(r) c_y)^{n-l+m_2} \ (\text{where } c(p,q) = \tfrac{p!}{(p-q)!q!})\\
&\qquad \leq 2^n (1+\|r\|)^{2n+m_1+m_2} (2\vartheta_1(r) c_{x,y})^{n+m_1+m_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are in position to prove the following result about existence of EH dilation of CP semigroup P_t associated with element $r \in \mathcal{A}$ discussed above.

Theorem 3.3. *For $t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$,*
(a) There exist unique solution $j_t(x)$ of the QSDE,

$$dj_t(x) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_t(\delta_j^\dagger x)da_j(t) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_t(\delta_j x)da^\dagger{}_j(t) + j_t(\hat{\mathcal{L}}x)dt, \tag{3.4}$$

$$j_0(x) = x \otimes 1_\Gamma \ .$$

such that $j_t(1) = 1, \ \forall t \geq 0$.
(b) For $u, v \in \mathbf{h}_0, f, g \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\langle u\boldsymbol{e}(f), j_t(xy)v\boldsymbol{e}(g)\rangle = \langle j_t(x^*)u\boldsymbol{e}(f), j_t(y)v\boldsymbol{e}(g)\rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

(c) j_t is a contraction on $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ and extends uniquely to a unital C^*-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ in to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Note first that $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ is a dense $*$-sub algebra of $\mathcal{A}$.
(a) As usual, we solve by iteration. For $t_0 \geq 0, t \leq t_0, x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}, u \in \mathbf{h}_0, f \in \mathcal{C}$, we set

$$j_t^{(0)}(x) = x \otimes 1_\Gamma \ \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_t^{(n)}(x) &= x \otimes 1_\Gamma \\ &+ \int_0^t \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_s^{(n-1)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x))da_j(s) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j_s^{(n-1)}(\delta_j(x))da_j^\dagger(s) + j_s^{(n-1)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then we shall show,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{j_t^{(n)}(x) - j_t^{(n-1)}(x)\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \\ &\leq \frac{(t_0c_f)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}}\|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \sum_{\bar{k}(n)}\sum_{\bar{\varepsilon}(n)} \|\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))(x)\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $c_f = 2e^{\gamma_f(t_0)}(1 + \|f\|_\infty^2)$, with $\gamma_f(t_0) = \int_0^{t_0}(1 + \|f(s)\|^2)ds$. For $n = 1$, by the basic estimate of quantum stochastic integral (see [10, 7]),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{j_t^{(1)}(x) - j_t^{(0)}(x)\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\ &\quad = \|\{\int_0^t \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_j^\dagger(x)da_j(s) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_j(x)da_j^\dagger(s) + \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)ds\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\ &\quad \leq 2e^{\gamma_f(t_0)}\|\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \int_0^t \{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|\delta_j^\dagger(x)u\|^2 + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|\delta_j(x)u\|^2 + \|\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)u\|^2\}(1 + \|f(s)\|)^2 ds \\ &\quad \leq c_f t_0\|\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|\delta_j^\dagger(x)u\| + \|\delta_j(x)u\| + \|\mathcal{L}_j(x)u\|\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.7) is true for $n = 1$. Inductively assume the estimate for some $m > 1$, again by same argument as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\{j_t^{(m+1)}(x) - j_t^{(m)}(x)\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\
= \quad & \|\{\int_0^t \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} [j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x))]da_j(s_m) \\
& + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} [j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j(x))]da^\dagger{}_j(s_m) \\
& + [j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))]ds_m\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\
\leq \quad & 2e^{\gamma_f(t_0)} \int_0^t \{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\
& + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2 \\
& + \|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\|^2\}(1 + \|f(s_m)\|^2)ds_m \\
\leq \quad & c_f \int_0^t [\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \{\|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j{}^\dagger(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j^\dagger(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \\
& + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\delta_j(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\delta_j(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \\
& + \|[j_{s_m}^{(m)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)) - j_{s_m}^{(m-1)}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))]u\mathbf{e}(f)\|\}]^2 ds_m.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying (3.7) for $n = m$, we get the require estimate for $n = m + 1$ and furthermore by the estimate of lemma (3.2 (iii)),

$$\|\{j_t^{(n)}(x) - j_t^{(n-1)}(x)\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \leq 3^n(t_0c_f)^{n/2}\|u\mathbf{e}(f)\|(1 + \|r\|)^n(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_x)^n,$$

thus it follows that the sequence $\{j_t^{(n)}(x)u\mathbf{e}(f)\}$ is cauchy. Define $j_t(x)u\mathbf{e}(f)$ to be the $\lim_{n\to\infty} j_t{}^{(n)}u\mathbf{e}(f)$, that is

$$j_t(x)u\mathbf{e}(f) = xu \otimes \mathbf{e}(f) + \sum_{n\geq 1}\{j_t^{(n)}(x) - j_t^{(n-1)}(x)\}u\mathbf{e}(f) \tag{3.8}$$

and one has

$$\|j_t(x)u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \leq \|u\mathbf{e}(f)\|[\|x\| + \sum_{n\geq 1} 3^n(t_0c_f)^{n/2}(1 + \|r\|)^n(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_x)^n]. \tag{3.9}$$

Uniqueness follows by setting,

$$q_t(x) = j_t(x) - j'_t(x)$$

and observing

$$dq_t(x) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} q_t(\delta_j^\dagger(x))da_j(t) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} q_t(\delta_j(x))da^\dagger{}_j(t) + q_t(\mathcal{L}(x))dt,\ q_0(x) = 0.$$

Exactly similar estimate as above will show that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\|q_t(x)u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \leq \frac{(t_0 c_f)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} \|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \sum_{\bar{k}(n)} \sum_{\bar{\varepsilon}(n)} \|\delta(\bar{k}(n), \bar{\varepsilon}(n))(x)\|,$$

since by lemma 3.2(iii), the sum grows as n-th power, $q_t(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$, showing the uniqueness of the solution. As $1 \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$(in fact it is of empty support) by QSDE (3.4) it follows that $j_t(1) = 1$.
(b)For $u\mathbf{e}(f), v\mathbf{e}(g) \in h \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$, by induction we have,

$$\langle j_t^{(n)}(x^*)u\mathbf{e}(f), v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle = \langle u\mathbf{e}(f), j_t^{(n)}(x)v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle$$

Now as n tends to ∞ we get

$$\langle j_t(x^*)u\mathbf{e}(f), v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle = \langle u\mathbf{e}(f), j_t(x)v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle.$$

Define

$$\Phi_t(x, y) = \langle u\mathbf{e}(f), j_t(xy)v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle - \langle j_t(x^*)u\mathbf{e}(f), j_t(y)v\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle$$

Now for $l = 1, 2, \cdots, 7$ setting
$(\zeta_k(l), \eta_k(l)) = (\delta_k, id), (id, \delta_k), (\delta_k^\dagger, 1), (id, \delta_k^\dagger), (\mathcal{L}_k, id), (id, \mathcal{L}_k)$ and $(\delta_k^\dagger, \delta_k)$ respectively, one has

$$|\Phi_t(x, y)| \leq c_{f,g}^n \sum_{l_n, \cdots, l_1} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_1}$$

$$\sum_{k_n, \cdots, k_1} |\Phi_{s_1}(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)x, \eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)y)| ds_0 \cdots ds_{n-1}, \ \forall n \geq 1, \qquad (3.10)$$

where $c_{f,g} = (1 + t_0{}^{1/2})(\|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty)$. By quantum Ito formula and cocyle properties of structure operators, i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(xy) = x\hat{\mathcal{L}}(y) + \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)y + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k^\dagger(x)\delta_k(y)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_t(x, y) \\ &\quad = \int_0^t \sum_k \{\Phi_s(\delta_k(x), y) + \Phi_s(x, \delta_k(y))\} f_k(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_k \{\Phi_s(\delta_k^\dagger(x), y) + \Phi_s(x, \delta_k^\dagger(y))\} \bar{v}_k(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_k \{\Phi_s(\mathcal{L}_k(x), y) + \Phi_s(x, \mathcal{L}_k(y)) + \Phi_s(\delta_k^\dagger(x), \delta_k(y))\} ds \end{aligned}$$

which gives the estimate for $n = 1$,

$$|\Phi_t(x, y)| \leq c_{f,g} \sum_{l=1 \cdots 7} \int_0^t \sum_k |\Phi_s(\zeta_k(l)(x), \eta_k(l)(y))| ds \ . \qquad (3.11)$$

Now if we assume (3.10) for some $m > 1$, application of (3.11) gives the required estimate for $n = m + 1$.

Before going to further estimate of $|\Phi_t(x, y)|$, by (3.8) , (3.9) and lemma 3.2 (iv), note the following,
(1) For any n-tuple $(l_1, l_2 \cdots l_n)$ in $\{1, 2 \cdots 7\}$

$$\sum_{k_n,\dots k_1} \|j_s(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)(x) \cdot \eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)(y))v\mathbf{e}(g)\|$$

$$\leq C_{g,x,y}\{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{2n}\|v\mathbf{e}(g)\| \tag{3.12}$$

where for any $g \in \mathcal{C}$

$$C_{g,x,y} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} 3^m \frac{(t_0 c_g)^{m/2}}{\sqrt{m!}} \{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{2m}.$$

(2) For any $s \leq t_0,\ p \leq n$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}(p)$,

$$\sum_{\bar{k}(p)} \|j_s\{\delta(\bar{k}(p), \bar{\varepsilon}(p))(y)\}v\mathbf{e}(g)\|$$

$$\leq C_{g,x,y}\{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{n}\|v\mathbf{e}(g)\| \tag{3.13}$$

(3) Since $\vartheta_p(x) = \vartheta_p(x^*)$ and $\{\delta(\bar{k}(p), \bar{\varepsilon}(p))(x)\}^*$ can also be written as $\delta(\bar{k}(p), \bar{\varepsilon}'(p))(x^*)$ for some $\bar{\varepsilon}'(p)$, we have

$$\sum_{\bar{k}(p)} \|j_s\{\delta(\bar{k}(p), \bar{\varepsilon}(p))(x)\}^* u\mathbf{e}(f)\|$$

$$\leq C_{f,x,y}\{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{n}\|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \tag{3.14}$$

Now for any fixed n-tuple $(l_1, \cdots, l_n)$ consider,

$$\sum_{\bar{k}(n)} |\Phi_s(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)x, \eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)y)|,$$

by definition of Φ_s, it is

$$\leq \sum_{k_n,\dots k_1} \|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \cdot \|j_s(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)x \cdot \eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)y)v\mathbf{e}(g)\|$$
$$+\|j_s\{(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)(x))^*\}u\mathbf{e}(f)\| + j_s(\eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)(y))v\mathbf{e}(g)\|,$$

now the estimates (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\bar{k}(n)} |\Phi_s(\zeta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \zeta_{k_1}(l_1)x, \eta_{k_n}(l_n) \cdots \eta_{k_1}(l_1)y)| \\
&\quad \leq \{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{2n}\|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \cdot \|v\mathbf{e}(g)\|(C_{g,x,y} + C_{f,x,y}C_{g,x,y}) \\
&\quad = C\{(1 + \|r\|)(1 + 2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

with $C = \|u\mathbf{e}(f)\| \cdot \|v\mathbf{e}(g)\|(C_{g,x,y} + C_{f,x,y}C_{g,x,y})$, now by (3.10),

$$|\Phi_t(x,y)| \leq C \ \frac{(7 \ t_0 c_{f,g})^n}{n!}\{(1+\|r\|)(1+2\vartheta_1(r)c_{x,y})\}^{2n}, \ \forall \ n \geq 1.$$

so $\Phi_t(x,y) = 0$.

(c) Let $\xi = \sum c_j u_j \mathbf{e}(f_j)$ (vector in algebraic tensor product of $\mathbf{h}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$). If $y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$, y is actually an $N^{|y|} \times N^{|y|}$-dim positive matrix and hence it admits a unique square root $\sqrt{y} \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$, setting $y = \sqrt{\|x\|1 - x}$ so that $y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|j_t(y)\xi\|^2 &= \langle j_t(y)\xi, j_t(y)\xi\rangle \\ &= \sum \bar{c}_i c_j \langle j_t(y)u_i\mathbf{e}(f_i), j_t(y)u_j\mathbf{e}(f_j)\rangle \\ &= \sum \bar{c}_i c_j \langle u_i\mathbf{e}(f_i), j_t(\|x\|1 - x)u_j\mathbf{e}(f_j)\rangle \text{ (by (b))} \\ &= \|x\| \cdot \|\xi\|^2 - \langle \xi, j_t(x)\xi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

(where we have used the fact that $1 \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ and $j_t(1) = 1$) . Now let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ be arbitrary and applying the above for x^*x and by (b) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\|j_t(x)\xi\|^2 &= \langle j_t(x)\xi, j_t(x)\xi\rangle \\ &= \sum \bar{c}_i c_j \langle j_t(x)u_i\mathbf{e}(f_i), j_t(x)u_j\mathbf{e}(f_j)\rangle \\ &= \sum \bar{c}_i c_j \langle u_i\mathbf{e}(f_i), j_t(x^*x)u_j\mathbf{e}(f_j)\rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, j_t(x^*x)\xi\rangle \\ &\leq \|x^*x\| \cdot \|\xi\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \cdot \|\xi\|^2 \\ &\text{or } \|j_t(x)\xi\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|\xi\|.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality obviously extends to all $\xi \in \mathbf{h}_0 \otimes \Gamma$. Noting that $j_t(1) = 1, \ \forall t$, we get

$$\|j_t(x)\| \leq \|x\| \text{ and } \|j_t\| = 1.$$

Thus j_t extends uniquely to a unital C^*-homomorphism satisfying QSDE (3.4) and hence is a EH flow on $\mathcal{A}$ with P_t as its expectation semigroup.

□

We have also obtained an EH type dilation for the CP semigroup P_t^{ϕ} associated with the partial state ϕ_0. Note that the generator $\hat{\mathcal{L}^{\phi}}$ of P_t^{ϕ} satisfies

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}^{\phi}}(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{N'} [L_k^{(m)^*}, x]L_k^{(m)} + L_k^{(m)^*}[x, L_k^{(m)}], \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}.$$

Now we have the following,

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}^{\phi}}$ and P_t^{ϕ} as discussed earlier, then*
(a) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $t \geq 0$ there exist unique solution $\eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})$ for the QSDE,

$$d\eta_t^{(k)}(x) = \eta_t^{(k)}(\sum_{m=1}^{N'} [L_k^{(m)^*}, x_{(k)}])da_k(t) + \eta_t^{(k)}(\sum_{m=1}^{N'} [x_{(k)}, L_k^{(m)}])da_k^{\dagger}(t) + \eta_t^{(k)}(\mathcal{L}_k^{\phi} x_{(k)})dt, \tag{3.15}$$

$$j_0(x_{(k)}) = x_{(k)} \otimes 1_\Gamma,\ \forall x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_k$$

and $\eta_t^{(k)}$ *is a unital* $*$*-homomorphism from* $\mathcal{A}_k$ *in to* $\mathcal{A}_k \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$*. Moreover, for different* k *and* $k', \eta_t^{(k)}$ *and* $\eta_t^{(k')}$ *commute in the sense that,* $\eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})$ *and* $\eta_t^{(k')}(x_{k'})$ *commute for every* $x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_k$ *and* $x_{k'} \in \mathcal{A}_{k'}$*,*
(b)There exist unique unital $*$*-homomorphism* η_t *from* $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ *in to* $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ *such that it coincide with* $\eta_t^{(k)}$ *on* $\mathcal{A}_k$*,*
(c)The η_t *extends uniquely as a unital* C^**-homomorphism from* $\mathcal{A}$ *in to* $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$*.*

Proof. (a) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, t \geq 0$ and $x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_k$, consider the QSDE (3.15). Here we have only finitely many nontrivial structure maps on the unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$, satisfying structure equation . So there exist a unique solution $\eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})$ and $\eta_t^{(k)}$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_k$ in to $\mathcal{A}_k \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$. Note that for different k and k' associated structure maps are commuting. Hence, $\eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})$ and $\eta_t^{(k')}(x_{(k')})$ commute for every $x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $x_{(k')} \in \mathcal{A}_{k'}$.

(b)Now for any finite $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d, t \geq 0$ and simple tensor element $x_\Lambda = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$, if we set

$$\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x_\Lambda) = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})$$

then $\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}$ is a well defined map on $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ to $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ due to the fact that $\eta_t^{(k)}$'s commute. Differentiating $\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x_\Lambda)$ with respect to t, it follows that $\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x_\Lambda)$ satisfies the QSDE,

$$d\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x_\Lambda) = \sum_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(\sum_{m=1}^{N'}[L_k^{(m)^*}, x_\Lambda])da_k(t) + \sum_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(\sum_{m=1}^{N'}[x_\Lambda, L_k^{(m)}])da_k^\dagger(t) + \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(\mathcal{L}_k^\phi x_\Lambda)dt, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\eta_0^{(\Lambda)}(x_\Lambda) = x_\Lambda \otimes 1_\Gamma.$$

In order to show,

$$\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(xy) = \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x) \cdot \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(y),\ \text{for every simple tensor elements}\ \ x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}, \tag{3.17}$$

without loss of generality (since each $\eta_t^{(k)}$'s are unital, for finite subsets $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda', \eta_t^{(\Lambda')}$ agree with $\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}$ for simple tensor elements in $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$) assume $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ for some finite $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ so that $x = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} x_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ and $y = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} y_{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ with identity component out side their respective support. Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(xy) &= \eta_t^{(\Lambda)} \prod_{k\in\Lambda}(x_{(k)}y_{(k)}) = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)}y_{(k)}) \\ &= \prod_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)})\eta_t^{(k)}(y_{(k)}) = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(k)}(x_{(k)}) \prod_{k\in\Lambda} \eta_t^{(k)}(y_{(k)}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.17) follows. Similarly for $x = \prod_{k\in\Lambda} x_{(k)}$,

$$\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x^*) = (\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(x))^*. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we define η_t on $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ as follows, note that any element $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ can be written as a linear combination of simple tensor elements $\{U_g : g \in \mathcal{G}\}, x = \sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}} c_g U_g$ with $c_g = o$ when *supp*(g) is outside the *supp*$(x) = \Lambda$, set

$$\eta_t(x) = \sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}} c_g \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(U_g)$$

Let x and $y \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}, x = \sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}} c_g U_g$ and $y = \sum_{h\in\mathcal{G}} c_h U_h$ such that $supp(x) = supp(y) = \Lambda$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_t(xy) &= \eta_t(\sum_{g,h\in\mathcal{G}} c_g c_h U_g U_h) \\ &= \sum_{g,h\in\mathcal{G}} c_g c_h \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(U_g U_h) = \sum_{g,h\in\mathcal{G}} c_g c_h \eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(U_g)\eta_t^{(\Lambda)}(U_h) \text{ (by (3.17))} \\ &= \eta_t(\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}} c_g U_g)\eta_t(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{G}} c_h U_h).\end{aligned}$$

So $\eta_t(xy) = \eta_t(x)\eta_t(y)$ and by 3.18 it follows that $\eta_t(x^*) = (\eta_t(x))^*, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$. Thus η_t is a unital $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ in to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$. (c) (Proof is same as that of theorem 3.3(c))
Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ then $\|x\|^2 1 - x^*x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$ (in fact it is belong to some finite dimensional matrix algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$) so $\sqrt{\|x\|^2 1 - x^*x} \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$. Since η_t is a unital $*$-homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta_t(\|x\|^2 1 - x^*x) \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \eta_t(x^*x) \leq \|x\|^2 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \|\eta_t(x^*x)\| \leq \|x\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \|\eta_t(x)\| \leq \|x\|,\end{aligned}$$

So η_t extends uniquely as a unital C^*-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ in to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

□

4 Covariance of EH flow

In this section we shall prove that the Evans-Hudson flows constructed in the last section is covariant. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^* (or von Neumann) algebra, G be a locally compact group equipped with an action α on $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\{T_t : t > 0\}$ be a covariant CP semigroup on $\mathcal{B}$ w.r.t. α, that is,

$$\alpha_g \circ T_t(x) = T_t \circ \alpha_g(x), \forall t \geq 0, g \in G, x \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then a natural question arises , does there exist a covariant EH dilation for $\{T_t\}$. The question is discussed in [1] for uniformly continuous CP semigroup. There is no such general result for CP semigroups with unbounded generators.

We shall show the EH flow $\{j_t\}$ and $\{\eta_t\}$ constructed in the previous section is covariant w.r.t. the actions τ and λ(λ to be introduced later in this section) of the group $\mathbb{Z}^d$.
It can be easily observed that

$$\delta_k \tau_j = \tau_j \delta_{k-j} \text{ and } {\delta^\dagger}_k \tau_j = \tau_j {\delta^\dagger}_{k-j}, \ \forall j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \tag{4.1}$$

and we have the following lemma,

Lemma 4.1. *(i)*$\hat{\mathcal{L}}\tau_j(x) = \tau_j\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x), \ \forall x \in Dom(\hat{\mathcal{L}}),$
(ii)$P_t\tau_j = \tau_j P_t$, *i.e.* P_t *is covariant.*

Proof. (i) Note that $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ is τ invariant and thus for $x \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\tau_j(x)) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k^\dagger(\tau_j(x))r_k + r_k^*\delta_k(\tau_j(x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \tau_j\delta_{k-j}^\dagger(x)r_k + {r_k}^*\tau_j\delta_{k-j}(x) \quad \text{(by 4.1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\tau_j\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_{k-j}^\dagger(x)r_{k-j} + r_{k-j}^*\delta_{k-j}(x)\} \\
&= \tau_j(\mathcal{L}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

For $x \in Dom(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$, choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $y = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and x_n and $\mathcal{L}(x_n)$ converges to x and y respectively. Now, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ applying the automorphism $\tau_j, \tau_j(x_n)$ and $\tau_j\mathcal{L}(x_n)$ converges to $\tau_j(x)$ and $\tau_j(y)$ respectively. Since $x_n \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{L}(\tau_j(x_n)) = \tau_j\mathcal{L}(x_n)$ and we get

$$\tau_j(x) \in Dom(\hat{\mathcal{L}}) \text{ and } \ \hat{\mathcal{L}}\tau_j(x) = \tau_j\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x).$$

(ii)By (i), for $x \in Dom(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$ and $0 \le s \le t$ we have,

$$\frac{d}{ds}P_s \circ \tau_j \circ P_{t-s}(x) = P_s \circ \hat{\mathcal{L}} \circ \tau_j \circ P_{t-s}(x) - P_s \circ \tau_j \circ \hat{\mathcal{L}} \circ P_{t-s}(x) = 0$$

This implies that $P_s \circ \tau_j \circ P_{t-s}(x)$ is independent of s for every j and $0 \le s \le t$. Setting $s = 0$ and t respectively and using the fact that P_t is bounded we get $P_t\tau_j = \tau_j P_t$. $\square$

Note that $j_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0)))$, where $\mathbf{k}_0 = l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with canonical basis $\{e_k\}$, as mentioned earlier. Define the canonical bilateral shift s by $s_j e_k = e_{k+j}, \forall j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and let $\gamma_j = \Gamma(1 \otimes s_j)$, the second quantization of $1 \otimes s_j$ i.e. $\gamma_j\mathbf{e}(\sum f_l(.)e_l) = \mathbf{e}(\sum f_l(.)e_{l+j})$, this defines a unitary representation of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ in Γ and further we set $\sigma = \tau \otimes \lambda$ on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ where $\lambda_j(y) = \gamma_j y \gamma_{-j}, \ \forall y \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

By definition of fundamental processes $a_k(t) : a_k(t)\mathbf{e}(g) = \int_0^t g_k(s)ds\ \mathbf{e}(g)$, observed that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_j a_k(t)\mathbf{e}(g) &= \gamma_j a_k(t)\gamma_{-j}\mathbf{e}(g) = \gamma_j a_k(t)\mathbf{e}(\sum\langle g, e_{l+j}\rangle(\cdot)e_l)\\ &= \int_0^t \langle g, e_{k+j}\rangle(s)ds\ \gamma_j(\mathbf{e}(\sum\langle g, e_{l+j}\rangle(\cdot)e_l)\\ &= \int_0^t \langle g, e_{k+j}\rangle(s)ds\ (\mathbf{e}(\sum\langle g, e_{l+j}\rangle(\cdot)e_{l+j})\\ &= a_{k+j}(t)\mathbf{e}(g)\end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\langle \mathbf{e}(f), \lambda_j a_k(t)\mathbf{e}(g)\rangle = \langle \lambda_j a_k^\dagger(t)\mathbf{e}(f), \mathbf{e}(g)\rangle,$$

it follows that

$$\lambda_j a_k(t) = a_{k+j}(t) \text{ and } \lambda_j a_k^\dagger(t) = a_{k+j}^\dagger(t). \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.2. *The Evans-Hudson flow j_t of the CP semigroup P_t is covariant,i.e.*

$$\sigma_j j_t \tau_{-j}(x) = j_t(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{A},\ t \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Proof. For a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, set $j'_t = \sigma_j j_t \tau_{-j},\ \forall t \geq 0$. Using QSDE (3.4) and lemma 4.1, (4.1), (4.2) we get for $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&j'_t(x) - j'_0(x)\\ &\quad= \int_0^t \{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_j j_s(\delta_k^\dagger(\tau_{-j}(x)))da_k(s) + \int_0^t \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_j j_s(\delta_k(\tau_{-j}(x)))da_k^\dagger(s)\\ &\qquad\quad + \int_0^t \sigma_j j_s(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\tau_{-j}(x)))ds\\ &\quad= \int_0^t \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_j j_s \tau_{-j}(\delta_{k+j}^\dagger(x))da_{k+j}(s) + \int_0^t \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_j j_s \tau_{-j}(\delta_{k+j}(x))da_{k+j}^\dagger(s)\\ &\qquad\quad + \sigma_j j_s \tau_{-j}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x))ds\\ &\quad= \int_0^t \{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j'_s(\delta_k^\dagger(x))da_k(s) + \int_0^t \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} j'_s(\delta_k(x))da_k^\dagger(s) + \int_0^t j'_s(\hat{\mathcal{L}}x)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Since, $j'_0(x) = \sigma_j j_0 \tau_{-j}(x) = \sigma_j(\tau_{-j}(x) \otimes 1_\Gamma) = x \otimes 1_\Gamma = j_0(x)$,

$j'_t(x) = j_t(x)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$, by uniqueness of QSDE (3.4). As both j'_t and j_t are bounded maps, it follows that $j'_t = j_t$. □

Remark 4.3. *By similar argument as above, the EH flow for the CP semigroup P_t^ϕ, can be seen to be covariant with respect to the same actions.*

5 Ergodicity of the EH flows

Recall the QDS P_t^ϕ associated with the partial state ϕ_0, for which we have constructed EH flows η_t in section 3. Note that P_t^ϕ has a unique ergodic state Φ. We have the following result on ergodicity of η_t w.r.t. the weak topology.

Theorem 5.1. *The EH flow η_t of CP semigroup P_t^ϕ has also the unique ergodic state Φ, in a sense that*

$$\eta_t(x) \to \Phi(x) 1_\Gamma \text{ weakly } \forall x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Since η_t and P_t^ϕ are norm contractive, $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{A}$, and $P_t^\Phi(x)$ converges to $\Phi(x) \otimes 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, it is enough to show that $\eta_t(x) - P_t^\Phi(x) \otimes 1 \to 0$ weakly as $t \to \infty$. Furthermore, it suffices to show that $\langle \xi_1, (\eta_t(x) - P_t^\Phi(x) \otimes 1)\xi_2 \rangle \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, where ξ_1, ξ_2 vary over the linear span of vectors of the form $ve(f)$, with $f = \sum_{|k| \leq n} f_k \otimes e_k$ for some n and $f_1, ...f_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \bigcap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

For notational simplicity denoting the bounded derivations on $\mathcal{A}$,

$$x \mapsto \sum_{m=1}^{N'} [x, L_k^{(m)}] \text{ and } x \mapsto \sum_{m=1}^{N'} [L_k^{(m)^*}, x]$$

by ρ_k and $\rho_k^\dagger$ respectively, note that η_t satisfies the QSDE,

$$d\eta_t(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_t(\rho_k^\dagger(x)) da_k(t) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_t(\rho_k(x)) da_k^\dagger(t) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_t(\mathcal{L}_k^\phi(x)) dt, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\eta_0(x) = x \otimes 1_\Gamma, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}.$$

For $t \geq 0, u, v \in \mathbf{h}_0$ and $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbf{k}_0) \bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, K_0)$ such that $f = \sum_{|k| \leq n} f_k \otimes e_k$ and $g = \sum_{|k| \leq n} g_k \otimes e_k$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$, consider the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), [\eta_t(x) - P_t^\phi(x) \otimes 1] v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle| \\
&\quad = |\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), [\int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \eta_q\{\rho_k(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} da_k^\dagger(q) + \eta_q\{\rho_k^\dagger(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} da_k(q)] v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle| \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{|k| \leq n} \int_0^t |\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), \eta_q\{\rho_k(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle| \; \|g(q)\| dq \\
&\quad + \sum_{|k| \leq n} \int_0^t |\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), \eta_q\{\rho_k^\dagger(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle| \; \|f(q)\| dq
\end{aligned}$$

As η_t, P_t^ϕ are contractive and $P_t^\phi(x)$ tend to $\Phi(x)1$ as t tend to ∞, further ρ_k and $\rho_k^\dagger$ are uniformly bounded and $\rho_k(1) = \rho_k^\dagger(1) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have,

$|\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), \eta_q\{\rho_k(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle|$ and $|\langle u\mathbf{e}(f), \eta_q\{\rho_k^\dagger(P_{t-q}^\phi(x))\} v\mathbf{e}(g) \rangle| \leq M$,
for some constant M independent of t and q. So since $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, K_0)$, both the terms of the above expression tend to 0 as t tends to ∞.

□

Remark 5.2. *The $\eta_t(x)$ does not converge strongly, for if did, then $x \mapsto \Phi(x) \otimes 1_\Gamma$ would be a homomorphism, i.e. Φ would be a multiplicative non zero functional on the UHF algebra $\mathcal{A}$, contradictory to the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ does not have any such functional.*

Remark 5.3. *If we look at the perturbation of the QDS P_t^ϕ by QDS associated with single supported $r \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then by the same argument used in the construction of EH dilation for the unperturbed semigroup will go through and one can obtain an EH dilation for the perturbed one. For small perturbation parameter $c \geq 0$ for which a unique ergodic state exists, the EH flow also admits the same unique ergodic state in the above sense.*

References

[1] Chakraborty, P. S., Goswami, D. and Sinha, K. B.; A covariant quantum stochastic dilation theory. Stochastics in finite and infinite dimensions, 89–99, Trends Math., Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.

[2] Goswami, D. and Sinha, K. B.; Hilbert modules and stochastic dilation of a quantum dynamical semigroup on a von Neumann algebra, Comm. Math. Phys. (1999) **205** no. 2, 377–403.

[3] Goswami, D. and Sinha, K. B.; Stochastic dilation of symmetric completely positive semigroups , submitted to J. of Funct. Anal.

[4] Goswami, D., Pal, A. K. and Sinha, K. B.; *Stochastic dilation of a quantum dynamical semigroup on a separable unital C^*-algebra* . Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. (2000) **3**, no. 1, 177–184.

[5] Hudson, R. L. and Parthasarathy, K. R.; Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions. Comm. Math. Phys. (1984) **93**, no. 3, 301–323.

[6] Matsui, Taku; Markov semigroups on UHF algebras. Rev. Math. Phys. (1993) **5,** no. 3, 587–600.

[7] Meyer, P.A.;“ Quantum Probability for Probabilist” 2nd ed, Lecture Notes in mathematics, Vol.1538, springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1993.

[8] Mohari, A.; Quantum stochastic differential equations with unbounded coefficients and dilations of Feller's minimal solution. Sankhyā Ser. A (1991) **53,** no. 3, 255–287.

[9] Mohari, A. and Sinha, K. B.; Stochastic dilation of minimal quantum dynamical semigroup. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. (1992) **102,** no. 3, 159–173.

[10] Parthasarathy, K. R.; “ An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus”, Monographs in Mathematics, **85**, Birkh*ä*user Verlag, Basel, 1992.